

# MOTS-c

## Mitochondrial Open Reading Frame of the 12S rRNA Type-c Mechanisms, Evidence, and Clinical Applications

Based on lecture and slide materials by William Seeds, MD — SSRP Institute | Cellular Medicine Education

For educational and research purposes only. Not medical advice. MOTS-c is not FDA-approved. FDA Category 2 bulk substance (Sept 2023). WADA-prohibited. No completed human RCTs. All human use is investigational.

### SECTION 1 · PROFILE OF THE PEPTIDE

#### Overview

MOTS-c (Mitochondrial Open Reading Frame of the 12S rRNA Type-c) is a 16-amino acid mitochondrial-derived peptide (MDP) encoded within the 12S ribosomal RNA gene (MT-RNR1) of the mitochondrial genome. Discovered by Lee et al. in 2015, it was the first peptide demonstrated to be translated from the mitochondrial 12S rRNA gene and to function as a systemic hormone — circulating in plasma, targeting distant tissues, and exerting metabolic effects far beyond the cell of origin.

MOTS-c belongs to the emerging class of mitochondrial-derived peptides (MDPs), which includes humanin (encoded in 16S rRNA, MT-RNR2) and the short humanin-like peptides (SHLPs 1–6, also encoded in MT-RNR2). Together, these molecules represent a paradigm shift in understanding mitochondria: not merely as energy factories, but as endocrine signaling organelles capable of communicating systemic metabolic status through peptide hormones encoded in their own ancient genome.

This guide is the first in the Mitochondrial Health Series of the SSRP Clinical Learning Guide collection. MOTS-c is categorically distinct from all prior guides in this series — it is not a GHRH analog, not a GHRP, not a GLP-1 agent, not an MC4R agonist. It is a mitochondrial hormone that primarily targets skeletal muscle, activates AMPK through a unique folate cycle mechanism, and functions as both a metabolic regulator and an exercise mimetic.

<b>Full Name</b>	Mitochondrial Open Reading Frame of the 12S rRNA Type-c
<b>Sequence</b>	MRWQEMGYIFYPRKLR (16 amino acids); first 11 residues most conserved across species
<b>Gene</b>	MT-RNR1 (12S rRNA region of the mitochondrial genome)
<b>Classification</b>	Mitochondrial-derived peptide (MDP); mitochondrial hormone
<b>Structure</b>	16 amino acid linear peptide; translated in cytoplasm from mitochondrial mRNA
<b>Conservation</b>	Highly conserved across 14+ mammalian species; RKLR C-terminal motif (positions 13–16) required for DNA binding
<b>Primary Target</b>	Skeletal muscle — site of 70–85% of insulin-stimulated glucose disposal
<b>Core Mechanism</b>	Folate cycle inhibition → AICAR accumulation → AMPK activation → nuclear translocation → NRF2/ARE antioxidant gene regulation
<b>FDA Status</b>	NOT approved; FDA Category 2 bulk substance since September 2023 — compounding restricted for human use. Re-evaluation ongoing; reclassification possible.
<b>WADA Status</b>	Prohibited — S2 class (Peptide Hormones, Growth Factors, Related Substances and Mimetics)

<b>Human Trial Data</b>	No completed RCTs for MOTS-c itself. CB4211 (analog): Phase 1a only — safe/well-tolerated after 7 days (NCT03998514). All human use is investigational.
<b>Endogenous Function</b>	Exercise-induced; declines with age; circulates in plasma; biomarker for insulin resistance and coronary function

## Positioning Within the Mitochondrial Health Series

The three peptides in the Mitochondrial Health Series — MOTS-c, Humanin, and the SHLPs — share an origin (the mitochondrial genome) but diverge significantly in mechanism, primary action, and clinical evidence:

Feature	MOTS-c	Humanin	SHLPs (1–6)
<b>Gene Region</b>	12S rRNA (MT-RNR1)	16S rRNA (MT-RNR2)	16S rRNA (MT-RNR2)
<b>Length</b>	16 amino acids	24 amino acids	20–38 amino acids (varies by SHLP)
<b>Primary Action</b>	Metabolic regulation, exercise mimetic	Cytoprotection, anti-apoptosis	Varied — metabolism and apoptosis (per SHLP)
<b>Core Pathways</b>	Folate-AICAR-AMPK, NRF2/ARE, NAD+	STAT3 activation, BAX inhibition	Varied per individual SHLP
<b>Nuclear Translocation</b>	Yes — AMPK-dependent, stress-induced	Not established	Not established
<b>Exercise Response</b>	↑ 11.9-fold in muscle; 1.5-fold in plasma	Modest increase	Limited data
<b>Key Clinical Target</b>	Insulin resistance, obesity, aging	Neuroprotection, metabolic disease	Under investigation

## SECTION 2 · MODES OF ACTION AND MECHANISMS

### The Core Mechanism: Folate Cycle Inhibition → AICAR → AMPK

MOTS-c's primary mechanistic entry point is pharmacologically unique among peptides: it inhibits the folate cycle at the 5-methyltetrahydrofolate (5-Me-THF) step. This is not an incidental side effect — it is the primary upstream mechanism through which MOTS-c activates AMPK.

The folate cycle is essential for one-carbon metabolism, supporting nucleotide synthesis and methylation reactions. When MOTS-c inhibits 5-Me-THF, one critical downstream consequence is the accumulation of AICAR (5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide) — a purine synthesis intermediate that is also the most potent endogenous activator of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK). This mechanism mirrors the metabolic state of exercise, caloric restriction, and metformin treatment — all of which activate AMPK, though through distinct upstream pathways.

Importantly, this folate cycle inhibition transiently increases homocysteine as a byproduct. The clinical instructor notes this is not clinically alarming at therapeutic doses — understanding the mechanism contextualizes the homocysteine change as an expected upstream event, not a toxicological signal.

### Complete Signaling Cascade: From Mitochondrial Stress to Nuclear Gene Regulation

Step	Mechanism	Downstream Outcome
<b>Step 1</b>	MOTS-c inhibits folate cycle at 5-Me-THF	AICAR accumulates — endogenous AMPK activator; mirrors exercise/caloric restriction state

<b>Step 2</b>	AICAR activates AMPK (AMP-activated protein kinase)	Master metabolic sensor activated; cellular energy state signaled as low-ATP/high-AMP
<b>Step 3</b>	AMPK activates SIRT1 and raises NAD <sup>+</sup> levels	SIRT1 deacetylase activated; NAD <sup>+</sup> /NADH ratio improves; mitochondrial biogenesis initiated via PGC-1 $\alpha$
<b>Step 4</b>	AMPK activates PGC-1 $\alpha$ transcriptional coactivator	$\uparrow$ mitochondrial biogenesis, $\uparrow$ fatty acid oxidation, $\uparrow$ GLUT4 expression, $\uparrow$ glucose uptake in skeletal muscle
<b>Step 5</b>	Glucose routing to pentose phosphate pathway (PPP)	Glucose diverted from glycolysis to PPP $\rightarrow$ purine synthesis + NADPH production (not ATP via glycolysis)
<b>Step 6</b>	$\uparrow$ Carnitine shuttles $\rightarrow$ enhanced beta-oxidation	Intracellular fatty acids reduced; fat utilized as primary fuel; thermogenesis increased
<b>Step 7</b>	Metabolic/oxidative stress triggers nuclear translocation	MOTS-c exits mitochondria $\rightarrow$ translocates to nucleus via AMPK-dependent mechanism; peaks ~3h post-stress; returns to baseline by 24h
<b>Step 8</b>	Nuclear MOTS-c binds ARE sequences on chromatin	C-terminal RKLR motif (residues 13–16) binds ARE directly; co-precipitates with NRF2, ATF1, ATF7, JUND
<b>Step 9</b>	NRF2/ARE antioxidant gene transcription upregulated	$\uparrow$ HO-1 (heme oxygenase-1), NQO1, GPX2, FTL (ferritin light chain), TXN (thioredoxin) — cellular antioxidant defense enhanced
<b>Step 10</b>	mTOR modulation (not suppression)	AMPK activation modulates mTOR — reduces anabolic mTOR signaling during metabolic stress; balance between catabolism (AMPK) and anabolism (mTOR) maintained

## Mitonuclear Communication: The Paradigm-Shifting Discovery

Kim et al. (Cell Metabolism, 2018) demonstrated that MOTS-c is the first mitochondrial-encoded factor shown to directly regulate nuclear gene expression — a discovery with profound implications for understanding cellular biology. Key features of this mitonuclear communication:

- Resting state: MOTS-c localizes predominantly within mitochondria with minimal nuclear presence
- Stress induction: Glucose restriction, serum deprivation, or oxidative stress (tBHP, paraquat) triggers rapid nuclear translocation
- AMPK dependence: AMPK inhibition (Compound C) or AMPK $\alpha$  knockdown (siRNA) completely blocks translocation — AMPK is the molecular gatekeeper for nuclear access
- NRF2 interaction: In the nucleus, MOTS-c co-immunoprecipitates with NRF2 and directly binds ARE (antioxidant response element) sequences in HO-1 and NQO1 promoters — confirmed by ChIP-qPCR
- RKLR motif: C-terminal residues 13–16 are required for DNA binding; RKLR $\rightarrow$ AAAA mutants retain NRF2 binding but cannot bind DNA, confirming a two-step interaction
- Transient response: Nuclear accumulation peaks at ~3 hours post-stress and returns to baseline by 24 hours — a dynamic, reversible response rather than permanent nuclear residency

This nuclear translocation represents what the biology calls 'mitonuclear communication' — the mitochondria sensing cellular energy status (deprivation, stress, exercise) and signaling that information to the nucleus through a peptide messenger to coordinate transcriptional responses. The mitochondria are not passive responders; they are active participants in cellular adaptation.

## Downstream Metabolic Actions

<b>Insulin Sensitization</b>	$\uparrow$ GLUT4 expression and membrane translocation in skeletal muscle $\rightarrow$ $\uparrow$ glucose uptake. Reverses age-dependent and diet-induced insulin
------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	resistance. GLUT4 translocation requires mitofusins MFN1/MFN2 for mitochondrial fusion, suggesting metabolic effects are coupled to mitochondrial network dynamics.
<b>Exercise Mimetic Effects</b>	Activates AMPK, SIRT1, PGC-1 $\alpha$ — the three core exercise-signaling mediators. Endogenous MOTS-c rises 11.9-fold in skeletal muscle and 1.5-fold in plasma after exercise. Systemic MOTS-c treatment doubles treadmill performance in mice across all ages (young, middle-aged, old). These are effects exercise itself produces — MOTS-c replaces or amplifies that signal.
<b>Thermogenesis / Anti-Obesity</b>	Anti-obesity effect is NOT from reduced food intake or increased activity, but from increased heat production — metabolic rate elevation. More mitochondria per cell (from mitochondrial biogenesis) means higher 24/7 fuel demand, raising resting metabolic rate. MOTS-c also influences brown/beige adipose tissue mitochondrial function.
<b>Hepatoprotection (NASH)</b>	MOTS-c directly interacts with the BH3 domain of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2, increasing its protein stability and suppressing Bcl-2 ubiquitination. This prevents hepatocyte apoptosis in NASH models. Both preventive (long-term) and therapeutic (short-term) MOTS-c treatments reversed NASH-diet-induced liver steatosis, apoptosis, inflammation, and fibrosis in mice.
<b>Anti-Inflammatory / Immune</b>	Functions as a host defense peptide (HDP): directly aggregates E. coli and MRSA, inhibiting bacterial proliferation and membrane integrity. Cytokine modulation: $\downarrow$ TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ ; $\uparrow$ IL-10 (anti-inflammatory). Enhanced macrophage bactericidal capacity. Reduced acute lung injury via downregulation of CINC-1 and ICAM-1. MRSA survival improved from 20% to 79% with pre-treatment in mice.
<b>Bone Health</b>	Suppresses ovariectomy-induced bone loss via AMPK activation. Promotes osteoblast differentiation via TGF- $\beta$ signaling. Potential therapeutic relevance for post-menopausal osteoporosis.
<b>NAD<sup>+</sup> and SIRT1</b>	MOTS-c increases NAD <sup>+</sup> levels — the critical substrate for sirtuin deacetylases. SIRT1 is partially involved in mediating MOTS-c's metabolic effects, connecting MOTS-c to the broader NAD <sup>+</sup> /sirtuin longevity axis.

### The Metabolically Challenged Selectivity Principle

***MOTS-c appears to have no meaningful effect in metabolically healthy individuals — its benefits are specific to metabolically challenged states. This is not a limitation; it is a reflection of the peptide's role as a stress-response signal.***

In animal studies, MOTS-c produced no significant metabolic changes in healthy, young, metabolically normal mice. Benefits were observed specifically in high-fat diet-induced obesity, age-related insulin resistance, ovariectomy-induced metabolic disruption, and acute infectious/inflammatory challenge. This selectivity reflects MOTS-c's identity as a cellular stress signal — it is generated and acts in response to energy insufficiency, metabolic challenge, or inflammatory stress, not as a tonic anabolic signal.

For practitioners, this principle shapes patient selection: MOTS-c is most rationally used in metabolically challenged individuals — those with insulin resistance, obesity, age-related metabolic decline, or post-menopausal metabolic disruption — rather than in metabolically healthy individuals seeking performance enhancement.

- **1.** MOTS-c represents a genuinely new category of metabolic pharmacology — a mitochondrial hormone, not a synthetic peptide agonist.

Every prior compound in this learning guide series acts by mimicking or stimulating a known receptor system (GHRHR, GHS-R1a, MC4R, GLP-1R). MOTS-c is different: it is an endogenously produced signaling molecule derived from the mitochondrial genome that the body already makes — in exercise, in fasting, and in metabolic stress — and whose levels measurably decline with age. Using exogenous MOTS-c is conceptually closer to replacing a declining endogenous signal than to administering a pharmacological agonist. This framing matters clinically, mechanistically, and for patient communication.

- **2.** The exercise-mimetic mechanism explains both MOTS-c's potential and the intelligence of combining it with actual exercise.

MOTS-c activates the same three master regulators of exercise adaptation — AMPK, SIRT1, and PGC-1 $\alpha$  — that physical exercise itself activates. It rises 11.9-fold in skeletal muscle during exercise and mimics the metabolic state of exertion via AICAR-mediated AMPK activation. This creates a compelling framework for combination use: MOTS-c amplifies the cellular signaling of exercise, while exercise amplifies the endogenous production of MOTS-c. They are synergistic, not redundant. For patients who cannot exercise at intensity — due to age, musculoskeletal limitations, or deconditioning — MOTS-c may provide a meaningful metabolic floor that makes subsequent exercise more rewarding and effective.

- **3.** The sex-dependent effect observed in animal studies requires honest clinical communication — but should not be interpreted as excluding women.

Animal studies showed metabolic benefits (insulin sensitization, anti-obesity effects) in male mice but not in pre-menopausal females. Post-menopausal ovariectomy models showed benefit, suggesting estrogen status mediates the response. The clinical instructor notes from practice that MOTS-c does appear to produce measurable benefits in female patients, particularly those who are metabolically compromised, and that the animal data may not fully translate. This area requires more investigation. Until clearer data exists: male patients and post-menopausal women have stronger preclinical evidence; pre-menopausal women with significant metabolic disease may still be appropriate candidates with careful monitoring.

- **4.** The K14Q genetic variant (m.1382A>C) is a clinically actionable pharmacogenomic consideration — particularly for East Asian patients.

The mtDNA polymorphism m.1382A>C (rs111033358), common in the Asian mitochondrial haplogroup D4b2, causes a K14Q amino acid substitution in MOTS-c. K14Q MOTS-c is a less potent insulin sensitizer in vitro and in vivo. Carriers compensate with ~20-fold higher endogenous MOTS-c plasma levels — but even this compensation does not fully restore potency, resulting in increased T2D risk, particularly in sedentary carriers. Clinically: (1) East Asian patients may show attenuated response to exogenous MOTS-c; (2) exercise appears to mitigate the metabolic risk in this population; (3) genotyping could inform both MOTS-c therapy planning and exercise prescription prioritization.

- **5.** MOTS-c's anti-inflammatory and host defense functions extend its potential far beyond metabolic disease.

The MRSA survival improvement from 20% to 79% with MOTS-c pre-treatment represents one of the most dramatic preclinical infection data points in the peptide literature. The mechanism — direct bacterial membrane disruption, enhanced macrophage bactericidal capacity, cytokine rebalancing toward anti-inflammatory IL-10 — positions MOTS-c as a host defense peptide with implications for sepsis, acute lung injury, and immune senescence. For a clinician working with aging patients who experience frequent infections or slow recovery from illness, this anti-inflammatory immune dimension of MOTS-c is clinically meaningful — even though the data is entirely preclinical.

- **6.** MOTS-c as a plasma biomarker is a measurable, actionable signal that should be incorporated into longitudinal clinical tracking.

Plasma MOTS-c levels: (1) decline with age in both skeletal muscle and circulation; (2) are negatively correlated with insulin resistance in obese children and adolescents; (3) are positively correlated with coronary endothelial function in humans; (4) rise with exercise in a sex- and ethnicity-dependent pattern. This positions MOTS-c as a biomarker of mitochondrial health and metabolic reserve — not yet standardized for clinical laboratory use, but

measurable through research assays and increasingly available. Establishing a baseline and tracking change over a treatment cycle adds objective evidence to what remains a largely anecdotal clinical dataset.

- 7. The regulatory status requires transparent patient communication — and may change soon.

MOTS-c was placed on the FDA's Category 2 bulk substance list in September 2023, restricting 503A compounding for human use. This is a significant practical limitation. However, approximately 19 peptides on this list are under active re-evaluation, and the clinical instructor anticipates reclassification of MOTS-c to Category 1 (compoundable) in the near term. Practitioners should: (1) document the regulatory status in informed consent; (2) source exclusively from 503B outsourcing facilities where available; (3) ensure pharmaceutical-grade purity — research-grade purity as low as 60% is a real safety concern for an incompletely characterized peptide; and (4) monitor regulatory updates actively.

## SECTION 4 · GENERAL DOSING INSTRUCTIONS AND DELIVERY OPTIONS

### Preclinical vs. Clinical Context Dosing

Parameter	Preclinical (Mice)	Clinical Context / Practitioner Protocols
Route	Intraperitoneal (IP) injection	Subcutaneous (SC) injection preferred
Dose Range	5–15 mg/kg/day (most metabolic studies); 20 mg/kg pre-treatment (MRSA); 50 mg/kg post-treatment (MRSA)	5–10 mg per injection (practitioner protocols); start at 5 mg
Frequency	Daily or 3× per week (late-life longevity study)	3–5× per week; most commonly 3× (every other day)
Duration	7–28 days (acute studies); chronic (ongoing metabolic studies)	6–12 week cycles; 12 weeks is the standard cycle length
Cycle Break	N/A (ongoing in most animal models)	4–8 weeks off between cycles; enables reassessment and may preserve receptor sensitivity
CB4211 Analog	15–250 mg/kg/day (7-day safety study, oral/SC)	Phase 1a: safe/well-tolerated at 7 days; no SAEs (NCT03998514)

### Practical Administration Protocol

Starting Dose	5 mg SC 3× per week (every other day); this dose has produced adequate response in practitioner reports and aligns with the lower preclinical effective range
Titration	Based on clinical and laboratory response at 4 weeks. Can increase to 5–10 mg and/or increase to 5× per week. Most patients do well at 5 mg 3×/week without dose escalation.
Maximum Dose	10 mg per injection; up to 5× per week. No established safety ceiling for humans — use caution and monitor closely at higher doses.
Timing	No specific food/fasting requirement (unlike GH-axis peptides). Evening injection aligns with circadian AMPK signaling but is not essential.
Reconstitution	Lyophilized powder. Reconstitute with bacteriostatic water. Do not shake — gently swirl or allow to reconstitute passively. Peptide is fragile; shaking can disrupt structure.

<b>Storage</b>	Lyophilized (unreconstituted): $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ long-term stable. Reconstituted: refrigerate at $2-8^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; use within 28 days. A single freeze of reconstituted solution is permissible; multiple freeze-thaw cycles degrade the peptide.
<b>Purity standard</b>	CRITICAL — pharmaceutical-grade purity required. Research-grade preparations can be as low as 60% pure; impurities may be toxic, immunogenic, or biologically unpredictable. Source from 503B outsourcing facilities only. Do not use black-market or unverified sources.
<b>Post-cycle therapy</b>	NOT required. MOTS-c does not suppress endogenous hormone production (unlike anabolic steroids or exogenous GH). Endogenous MOTS-c production resumes naturally after cycle cessation.
<b>Cycle structure</b>	Standard: 12 weeks on, 4–8 weeks off. The off-cycle period allows reassessment of baseline metabolic status and may support sustained hypothalamic sensitivity. Some practitioners use 3 months on / 6 months off for longevity protocols.

### Exercise Integration: The Most Important Co-Intervention

Because MOTS-c is an exercise-induced mitochondrial hormone that mimics exercise signaling, the combination of MOTS-c + structured exercise is mechanistically synergistic — not redundant. Protocol guidance:

- Initiate MOTS-c first (weeks 1–2) to establish metabolic foundation before adding exercise intensity
- Begin structured resistance training at weeks 2–3, progressing as tolerance improves
- Combine with aerobic exercise (zone 2 cardio) for maximal PGC-1 $\alpha$  and mitochondrial biogenesis signaling
- Monitor body composition (InBody or DEXA) at baseline and at 12 weeks to capture objective lean mass and visceral fat changes
- Counsel patients that MOTS-c amplifies exercise adaptation — the combination produces greater metabolic improvement than either alone

### Drug Interactions and Combination Considerations

<b>Metformin (AMPK activator)</b>	ADDITIVE AMPK activation — may increase hypoglycemia risk. Monitor fasting glucose carefully. The combination can be clinically useful but requires active glucose management. Less hypoglycemia risk than metformin + insulin.
<b>Aspirin (AMPK activator)</b>	Mild additive AMPK effect. Low clinical risk at standard anti-platelet doses, but worth noting in combination protocols.
<b>Thiazolidinediones (AMPK pathway)</b>	Additive metabolic effects via overlapping AMPK/insulin-sensitizing pathways. Monitor glucose; dose adjustment of antidiabetic medications may be needed.
<b>GLP-1 receptor agonists</b>	Lower hypoglycemia risk than metformin combinations, as GLP-1 agents are glucose-dependent and do not cause gluconeogenesis inhibition. MOTS-c + GLP-1 RA is a potentially well-tolerated metabolic combination — monitor for additive insulin sensitization.
<b>Insulin / antidiabetic agents</b>	Monitor fasting glucose and HOMA-IR. MOTS-c's insulin-sensitizing effect may require downward dose adjustment of antidiabetic medications over the treatment cycle.

## Full Evidence Base

Category	Study / Evidence	Key Finding
<b>Animal Study</b>	Lee C et al., Cell Metab 2015;21(3):443–454 — Discovery and metabolic characterization of MOTS-c	MOTS-c targets skeletal muscle; activates AMPK via folate cycle → AICAR pathway. Prevents diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance in mice. Reverses age-related insulin resistance in 12-month-old mice. Increases GLUT4 expression. Reduces visceral fat and hepatic steatosis. Anti-obesity effect via thermogenesis, not reduced food intake. Foundational discovery paper.
<b>In Vitro / Animal Study</b>	Kim KH et al., Cell Metab 2018;28(3):516–524 — Nuclear translocation and mitonuclear communication	First demonstration that MOTS-c translocates from mitochondria to nucleus under metabolic stress. AMPK-dependent nuclear transport confirmed. Co-immunoprecipitation with NRF2. Binds ARE sequences in HO-1, NQO1 promoters (ChIP-qPCR). RKLR C-terminal motif required for DNA binding. Paradigm shift: mitochondrial-encoded factor directly regulates nuclear gene expression.
<b>Animal / Human Observational</b>	Reynolds JC et al., Nat Commun 2021;12(1):470 — Exercise regulation and age-related physical decline	Endogenous MOTS-c rises 11.9-fold in skeletal muscle and 1.5-fold in plasma following exercise in humans. Systemic MOTS-c approximately doubles treadmill performance in young (2 mo), middle-aged (12 mo), and old (22 mo) mice. Intermittent MOTS-c (3x/wk) initiated at 23.5 months improved physical capacity in late-life mice. Endogenous levels decline with age.
<b>Review</b>	Lee C et al., Free Radic Biol Med 2016;100:182–187 — MOTS-c muscle and fat metabolism review	Comprehensive mechanistic review of MOTS-c in metabolic regulation. Documents folate cycle inhibition, AICAR accumulation, AMPK activation cascade, glucose routing to PPP, beta-oxidation enhancement. Synthesizes animal study data on anti-obesity and insulin-sensitizing mechanisms.
<b>Animal Study</b>	Zhai D et al., Mol Immunol 2017;92:151–160 — MOTS-c in MRSA infection	MOTS-c pre-treatment (20 mg/kg) improved survival from 20% to 79% in MRSA-infected mice. Decreased TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ ; increased IL-10. Enhanced macrophage bactericidal capacity. Promoted monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation. Direct bacterial aggregation of E. coli and MRSA. Host defense peptide function established.
<b>Cohort</b>	Fuku N et al., Aging Cell 2015;14(6):921–923 — MOTS-c and exceptional longevity	Initial small study (n=96) suggested the D4b2 mitochondrial haplogroup associated with longevity. Expanded cohort (n=736 centenarians) showed no effect of D4b2 on lifespan. Context for the K14Q variant discussion — longevity association is weaker than initially suggested.
<b>Animal Study</b>	Wan W et al., J Transl Med 2023;21(1):36 — Stress, metabolism, and aging review	Comprehensive review of MOTS-c effects across stress conditions, metabolic disease models, and aging. Documents thermogenic mechanism, brown adipose tissue effects, acute lung injury protection, and emerging NASH data.
<b>Review / Observational</b>	Zheng Y et al., Front Endocrinol 2023;14:1120533 — MOTS-c therapeutic exploitation review	Documents endogenous MOTS-c as biomarker: lower plasma MOTS-c in obese male children/adolescents correlated with insulin resistance markers. MOTS-c positively correlated with coronary endothelial function in humans. Menopause model benefits. Synthesizes human observational data.

<b>Animal Study</b>	Ming W et al., <i>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</i> 2016;476(4):412–419 — Bone health and ovariectomy	MOTS-c suppresses ovariectomy-induced bone loss via AMPK activation. Promotes osteoblast differentiation via TGF- $\beta$ signaling. Establishes bone health as a relevant clinical domain for post-menopausal MOTS-c applications.
<b>Clinical Trial</b>	Dieli-Conwright CM et al., <i>Sci Rep</i> 2021;11(1):16916 — Exercise and MOTS-c in breast cancer survivors (N=49)	16-week aerobic + resistance exercise in 25 Hispanic + 24 non-Hispanic White breast cancer survivors. Post-exercise MOTS-c significantly increased in non-Hispanic White BCS ( $p < 0.01$ ); not in Hispanic BCS ( $p > 0.01$ ) — possible mtDNA variation. Post-exercise MOTS-c correlated with: $\downarrow$ fat mass, $\downarrow$ body weight, $\downarrow$ HOMA-IR, $\downarrow$ CRP, $\uparrow$ lean mass (all $p < 0.01$ ). Only human exercise RCT with MOTS-c measurement.
<b>Review</b>	Kong BS et al., <i>Diabetes Metab J</i> 2023;47(3):315–324 — MOTS-c, diabetes, and aging review	Synthesizes MOTS-c evidence across T2DM, aging, and metabolic disease. Reviews mechanistic pathways and human observational data. Positions MOTS-c as a promising therapeutic target for age-related metabolic decline.
<b>Animal Study</b>	Kim SJ et al., <i>Physiol Rep</i> 2019;7(13):e14171 — Plasma metabolomics	MOTS-c reduced sphingolipid, monoacylglycerol, and dicarboxylate metabolism — metabolic pathways upregulated in obesity and T2DM. Plasma metabolomics validation of MOTS-c's systemic metabolic effects beyond direct tissue measurements.
<b>Cohort</b>	Zempo H et al., <i>Aging (Albany NY)</i> 2021;13(2):1692–1717 — K14Q genetic variant	Documents m.1382A>C (rs111033358) — K14Q MOTS-c variant common in Asian haplogroup D4b2. Carriers: ~20-fold higher plasma MOTS-c (compensatory). K14Q is less potent insulin sensitizer. T2D risk increased in sedentary carriers (OR meta-analysis $Z = 2.86$ , $p < 0.01$ ). Exercise mitigates risk. No lifespan effect in expanded cohort.
<b>Animal Study</b>	Lu H et al., <i>Cell Rep</i> 2024;43(1):113587 — MOTS-c and NASH via Bcl-2	MOTS-c directly interacts with BH3 domain of Bcl-2. Increases Bcl-2 protein stability; suppresses Bcl-2 ubiquitination. Both preventive and therapeutic MOTS-c reversed NASH diet-induced liver steatosis, apoptosis, inflammation, fibrosis. Reverses NASH-induced mitochondrial metabolic deficiency. Most recent mechanistic paper; extends hepatoprotection beyond AMPK.

## Evidence Classification

- Animal Study: Strong (preclinical) — metabolic effects (insulin sensitization, anti-obesity, exercise mimetic, AMPK, nuclear translocation, anti-inflammatory, bone, liver)
- In Vitro: Strong — nuclear translocation mechanism, NRF2/ARE interaction, RKLR DNA-binding motif
- Human Observational: Moderate — exercise-induced MOTS-c in skeletal muscle and plasma; biomarker correlations with metabolic health and coronary function
- Clinical Trial (analog): Limited — CB4211 Phase 1a: safe/well-tolerated at 7 days only; no metabolic endpoints
- Cohort: Moderate — K14Q variant and T2D risk; longevity data from centenarian cohorts
- Evidence Gap: No completed human RCT for MOTS-c itself in any metabolic indication
- Evidence Gap: No long-term human safety data — all exposure data is self-reported or from the 7-day Phase 1a analog trial
- Evidence Gap: Optimal human dose, frequency, duration, and cycling protocol not established

- Evidence Gap: Cancer risk — conflicting preclinical signals; no human oncology safety data
- Evidence Gap: Sex-specific protocols for pre-menopausal women; mechanism of male-specific metabolic benefits unclear

## SECTION 6 · CLINICAL CONSIDERATIONS

### Contraindications

- Active cancer diagnosis: Conflicting preclinical data — some studies suggest anti-tumor effects via AMPK activation; others show theoretical oncogenic risk (prostate, breast). In the absence of human safety data, avoid in active malignancy. Standard cancer screening before initiation.
- Pregnancy and lactation: No data exists. Avoid.
- Concurrent high-dose AMPK activators (particularly metformin): Risk of compounded AMPK activation leading to hypoglycemia. Not an absolute contraindication but requires active glucose monitoring and potential dose adjustment of existing medications.
- Metabolically healthy individuals: No expected benefit based on the metabolically challenged selectivity principle — do not use in patients without documented metabolic dysfunction.
- Pediatric use: No safety data; not appropriate outside research protocols.

### Precautions and Safety Profile

<b>Self-reported side effects (USADA data)</b>	Heart palpitations, injection site irritation, insomnia, fever, headache, flushing, nausea. These are self-reported from non-pharmaceutical-grade sources — exact incidence and drug causation not established.
<b>CB4211 Phase 1a (7-day analog data)</b>	Safe and well-tolerated; no serious adverse events. This is the only controlled human safety data available — 7 days only; inadequate for long-term safety conclusions.
<b>Injection site reactions</b>	Common; rotate sites. More frequent with pharmaceutical-grade preparations than reported with substandard purity products paradoxically — reflects immune recognition of the authentic peptide.
<b>Homocysteine elevation</b>	Expected upstream consequence of folate cycle inhibition; mild; does not represent toxicity at therapeutic doses. Consider monitoring homocysteine in patients with pre-existing cardiovascular risk or those on B12/folate-deficient diets.
<b>Cancer risk</b>	Conflicting preclinical data — AMPK activation is generally anti-proliferative (mechanistic rationale for anti-cancer effect); isolated studies suggest context-dependent pro-oncogenic effects. Avoid in active malignancy until human safety data exists.
<b>Long-term safety</b>	COMPLETELY UNKNOWN. No human data beyond 7 days (analog). FDA has not identified any completed human exposure data for MOTS-c itself. This is the most significant safety gap and must be disclosed to patients.
<b>Purity risk</b>	The most significant real-world safety concern. Research-grade MOTS-c at 60% purity means 40% unknown biological material — potentially toxic, immunogenic, or mutagenic peptide fragments. Pharmaceutical-grade sourcing is not optional.

### Patient Selection — Ideal Candidates

Given the metabolically challenged selectivity principle and the current evidence base, the most appropriate candidates for MOTS-c clinical exploration are:

- Adults with documented insulin resistance (elevated HOMA-IR, impaired fasting glucose, or T2DM) who have suboptimal response to lifestyle intervention alone
- Adults with age-related metabolic decline — particularly those over 50 with declining lean mass, increasing visceral adiposity, and reduced exercise capacity
- Post-menopausal women with metabolic syndrome, insulin resistance, or bone density concerns
- Patients with NAFLD/NASH — the Bcl-2 mechanism and hepatoprotective data provide mechanistic rationale
- Individuals with frequent infections or immune senescence who may benefit from the host defense peptide dimension
- Patients in whom exercise capacity is limited (musculoskeletal, cardiovascular) who could benefit from exercise-mimetic metabolic signaling

Exercise caution or avoid:

- Active or recent cancer (any type)
- Pre-menopausal women without significant metabolic disease — unclear benefit, insufficient data
- Patients on metformin or thiazolidinediones without active glucose monitoring capability
- East Asian patients — consider K14Q variant testing before initiating; attenuated response expected if K14Q carrier
- Anyone unwilling or unable to exercise concurrently — exercise is not just complementary, it is the mechanism synergy that makes the clinical rationale most defensible

## Monitoring Framework

Parameter	Baseline	4 Weeks	End of Cycle	Action / Target
<b>Fasting glucose</b>	Required	Required	Required	Primary metabolic safety marker; watch for hypoglycemia if on AMPK activators
<b>Fasting insulin / HOMA-IR</b>	Required	Required	Required	Primary efficacy marker; target: ↓ HOMA-IR over cycle
<b>HbA1c</b>	Required	—	Required	Long-term glycemic control; adjust antidiabetic medications if significantly improving
<b>Comprehensive metabolic panel</b>	Required	Required	Required	Hepatic and renal function; watch AST/ALT for NASH patients
<b>Lipid panel</b>	Required	—	Required	Triglycerides, LDL, HDL; expect ↓ triglycerides with AMPK activation
<b>CBC with differential</b>	Required	—	Required	Immune baseline; changes may reflect host defense peptide activity
<b>CRP / ESR</b>	Required	Required	Required	Inflammatory markers; expect ↓ with MOTs-c anti-inflammatory activity
<b>Body composition (InBody or DEXA)</b>	Required	—	Required	Lean mass, visceral fat, total fat mass; primary objective efficacy assessment
<b>Plasma MOTs-c level</b>	Recommended	—	Recommended	Not yet standardized; where available, track as biomarker of mitochondrial health and treatment response

<b>Heart rate and blood pressure</b>	Required	Each visit	Each visit	Monitor; palpitations are a reported AE — rule out arrhythmia if persistent
<b>Thyroid / cortisol</b>	If symptomatic	—	If indicated	Not a primary MOTS-c target; rule out concurrent issues if symptoms arise
<b>Cancer screening</b>	Age-appropriate	—	Ongoing	Standard screening; MOTS-c contraindicated in active malignancy

## Suggested Protocol Framework

1. Baseline assessment (Week 0): Fasting glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, HbA1c, CMP, lipid panel, CBC with differential, CRP/ESR. Body composition (InBody or DEXA). Document vital signs. Age-appropriate cancer screening. Consider plasma MOTS-c level if available. Evaluate concurrent AMPK activator use (metformin, aspirin, thiazolidinediones).
2. Initiation (Weeks 1–2): 5 mg SC 3× per week (Monday/Wednesday/Friday or equivalent every-other-day schedule). Monitor injection sites. Counsel on expected early effects (possible fatigue, injection site reactions). Do not yet add intensive exercise — allow metabolic adaptation first.
3. Exercise integration (Week 2–3): Begin structured resistance training 2–3× per week. Add zone 2 aerobic exercise 2–3× per week as tolerated. Combine MOTS-c injection days with training days where possible to amplify AMPK synergy.
4. 4-week assessment: Repeat fasting glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, CRP. Assess body composition. Titrate dose to 7.5–10 mg 3–5×/week if response is suboptimal and tolerance is good. Adjust any concurrent antidiabetic medications based on glucose response.
5. Maintenance (Weeks 5–12): Optimal dose (typically 5 mg 3–5× per week for most patients). Continue exercise program. Monitor vital signs at each visit. Document subjective outcomes: energy, recovery, sleep, cognitive function.
6. End-of-cycle assessment (Week 12): Repeat full metabolic panel, body composition, CRP/ESR. Compare to baseline. Evaluate benefit: if objective improvement documented, plan next cycle after 4–8 week break. If no meaningful change by week 12: reassess diagnosis, consider whether patient is metabolically challenged enough to respond, evaluate compliance and purity of source.
7. Off-cycle monitoring (Weeks 13–20): Allow 4–8 weeks off. Maintain exercise program during washout. Repeat metabolic panel at week 16 to assess durability of effect. Prepare for next cycle.

## SECTION 7 · A FINAL NOTE

***MOTS-c is the mitochondria speaking. Not through ATP, not through reactive oxygen species, but through a peptide — a 16-amino acid message encoded in an ancient genome that predates the nuclear DNA it now communicates with. Understanding this peptide means understanding that the mitochondria are not just the cell's power plant; they are one of its most sophisticated signaling nodes.***

The discovery that a mitochondrially encoded peptide can exit the organelle, traverse the cytoplasm, enter the nucleus, bind chromatin, and modulate antioxidant gene expression in real-time response to cellular stress is not a pharmacological curiosity. It is a fundamental revision of how we understand the biology of adaptation. Exercise, fasting, metabolic stress — all of these states drive MOTS-c production. The mitochondria are monitoring the energy state of the cell and responding with a messenger that coordinates the nuclear transcriptional response. This is a surveillance and response system, and it becomes less effective as we age.

The preclinical evidence for MOTS-c is, in relative terms, one of the strongest in the mitochondrial peptide literature: multiple independent animal studies confirming insulin sensitization, anti-obesity effects, exercise mimicry, anti-inflammatory host defense function, bone protection, and hepatoprotection — with a mechanistic thread running through all of them: AMPK activation as the master metabolic switch, and NRF2/ARE-mediated antioxidant gene regulation as the nuclear response. The breadth and internal consistency of these findings across independent research groups and diverse disease models is scientifically compelling.

The human evidence is, equally in relative terms, thin: one exercise observational study in breast cancer survivors showing endogenous MOTS-c correlates with metabolic improvements; a 7-day Phase 1a trial of an analog showing safety, not efficacy; and human biomarker correlations with coronary function and insulin resistance. There are no human RCTs. There is no established dose. There is no long-term safety data. The FDA has not identified any completed human exposure data for MOTS-c itself via any route. These are not softened limitations — they are the honest state of the evidence.

The regulatory status is complex but evolving. The Category 2 placement in September 2023 significantly restricted access, but the clinical instructor's expectation — based on conversations within the peptide medicine regulatory space — is that reclassification is imminent for MOTS-c. Practitioners should monitor this actively and ensure full compliance with current rules during the interim.

*For the practitioner who chooses to explore MOTS-c clinically — with appropriate patient selection, pharmaceutical-grade sourcing, active monitoring, and honest informed consent — the biological rationale is sound, the preclinical signal is strong, and the potential for genuine clinical benefit in metabolically challenged, aging patients is real. The obligation that accompanies that potential is to contribute to the evidence base: document outcomes, share data, and help build the human evidence that this peptide — and the mitochondrial health field it represents — still critically needs.*

This is where mitochondrial medicine begins. The next two guides in this series — Humanin and the SHLPs — extend the story into neuroprotection, apoptosis, and the diverse cellular functions that the mitochondrial genome's other secreted peptides serve. Together, they represent a new frontier in understanding how the most ancient component of our cells continues to orchestrate our survival.

---

## References

1. Lee C et al. The mitochondrial-derived peptide MOTS-c promotes metabolic homeostasis and reduces obesity and insulin resistance. *Cell Metab.* 2015;21(3):443–454. PMID: 25738459. [Animal Study]
2. Kim KH et al. The mitochondrial-encoded peptide MOTS-c translocates to the nucleus to regulate nuclear gene expression in response to metabolic stress. *Cell Metab.* 2018;28(3):516–524.e7. PMID: 30017354. [In Vitro / Animal Study]
3. Reynolds JC et al. MOTS-c is an exercise-induced mitochondrial-encoded regulator of age-dependent physical decline and muscle homeostasis. *Nat Commun.* 2021;12(1):470. PMID: 33469040. [Animal Study / Human Observational]
4. Lee C et al. MOTS-c: a novel mitochondrial-derived peptide regulating muscle and fat metabolism. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2016;100:182–187. PMID: 27156510. [Review]
5. Zhai D et al. MOTS-c peptide increases survival and decreases bacterial load in mice infected with MRSA. *Mol Immunol.* 2017;92:151–160. PMID: 28946009. [Animal Study]
6. Fuku N et al. The mitochondrial-derived peptide MOTS-c: a player in exceptional longevity? *Aging Cell.* 2015;14(6):921–923. PMID: 26178195. [Cohort]
7. Wan W et al. Mitochondria-derived peptide MOTS-c: effects and mechanisms related to stress, metabolism and aging. *J Transl Med.* 2023;21(1):36. PMID: 36658599. [Review]
8. Zheng Y et al. MOTS-c: a promising mitochondrial-derived peptide for therapeutic exploitation. *Front Endocrinol.* 2023;14:1120533. PMID: 36896182. [Review]
9. Ming W et al. Mitochondria related peptide MOTS-c suppresses ovariectomy-induced bone loss via AMPK activation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2016;476(4):412–419. PMID: 27236166. [Animal Study]
10. Dieli-Conwright CM et al. Effect of aerobic and resistance exercise on the mitochondrial peptide MOTS-c in breast cancer survivors. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):16916. PMID: 34413374. [Clinical Trial]
11. Kong BS et al. Mitochondrial-encoded peptide MOTS-c, diabetes, and aging-related diseases. *Diabetes Metab J.* 2023;47(3):315–324. PMID: 37105527. [Review]
12. Kim SJ et al. The mitochondrial-derived peptide MOTS-c is a regulator of plasma metabolites and enhances insulin sensitivity. *Physiol Rep.* 2019;7(13):e14171. PMID: 31273978. [Animal Study]

13. Zempo H et al. A pro-diabetogenic mtDNA polymorphism in the mitochondrial-derived peptide, MOTS-c. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2021;13(2):1692–1717. PMID: 33429358. [Cohort]
14. Lu H et al. The mitochondrial genome-encoded peptide MOTS-c interacts with Bcl-2 to alleviate nonalcoholic steatohepatitis progression. *Cell Rep*. 2024;43(1):113587. PMID: 38194339. [Animal Study]

*For educational and research purposes only. Not medical advice. MOTS-c is not FDA-approved. FDA Category 2 bulk substance (Sept 2023). WADA-prohibited. No completed human RCTs. Based on lecture materials by William Seeds, MD — SSRP Institute | Cellular Medicine Education.*